UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,605	02/10/2004	Yukio Kasabo	248554US0DIV	1680	
	7590 04/25/2007 AK MCCIFIIAND MA	EXAM	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TENTON	TENTONI, LEO B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·	1732			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	V BEDIOD OF BESDONISE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	V MODE	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	I PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
. 3 MOI	NTHS	04/25/2007	ELECT	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/25/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/774,605	KASABO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
•		Leo B. Tentoni	1732					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address					
Period fo	or Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	 I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). 					
Status		•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	ebruary 2007.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20 and 24-26</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20 and 24-26</u> is/are rejected.							
. 7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen		·	(DTO 440)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🗖 Infor	Notice of Diatisperson's Fatement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Solution S							

Application/Control Number: 10/774,605 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 18-20 and 24-26 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,503,624 B2 (Ikeda et al) in view of Takemoto et al (U.S. Patent 4,812,361 A). Ikeda et al claims a wet-spinning process for making acrylic fiber as set forth in the instant claims, except that Ikeda et al does not claim the spinneret characteristics, for

Application/Control Number: 10/774,605 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

making acrylic fiber having a non-circular cross-section (note that Ikeda et al is directed to making acrylic fiber having a non-circular cross-section). Takemoto et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 2, line 51 to col. 3, line 5; Example 4) teaches a wet-spinning process for making acrylic a non-circular cross-section including having spinneret characteristics, and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Ikeda et al in view of Takemoto et al principally in order to manufacture acrylic fiber for apparel home furnishings. The amount of acrylonitrile polymer and claimed (i.e., 80 wt.% or more and less than 95 wt.%) would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Ikeda et al since Ikeda et al claim 95 wt.% or more of acrylonitrile polymer.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-20 and 24-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/774,605 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732